



## United States Patent and Trademark Office



DATE MAILED: 07/25/2002

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 2023 I
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/435,154	11/08/1999	SHUNPEI YAMAZAKI	SEL142	4834
7	7590 07/25/2002			
COOK MCFARRON & MANZO LTD 200 WEST ADAMS STREET SUITE2850			EXAMINER	
			LOKE, STEVEN HO YIN	
CHICAGO, IL 60606			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2811	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## **Advisory Action**

Application	No
09/435,154	

Applicant(s) YAMAZAKI ET AL.

am

**Examiner** 

Steven Loke

Art Unit

2811

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 18 June 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued

Examina	ition (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) 🛚	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
nave been 37 CFR 1.1 b) above, i	sions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under I7(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in f checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any ent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1.□ A 37	Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 7 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. 🛛 Th	ne proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a) 🛭	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) [	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c) [	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) [	they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE: The amended portions of claims 11 and 24 would require further consideration and/or search.
3. 🗌 Ap	oplicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
	ewly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>1,6,14 and 19</u> would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed mendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
	ne a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the pplication in condition for allowance because:
ra	ne affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly aised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7.⊠ Fo	or purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) $\boxtimes$ will not be entered or b) $\square$ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
TI	ne status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
C	claim(s) allowed:
C	claim(s) objected to: <u>5,10,18 and 23</u> .
C	claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4, 6-9, 11-17, 19-22, 24-26</u> .
C	claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
8. TI	ne proposed drawing correction filed on is a)  □ approved or b)  □ disapproved by the Examiner.
9. N	ote the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
10.□ C	Steven Loke Prinary Examinor
	Steven Loke

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office